

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

4-Iodo-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde

Rohan A. Davis, Anthony R. Carroll, Ronald J. Quinn, Peter C. Healy* and Alan R. White

Eskitis Institute for Cell and Molecular Therapies, Griffith University, Nathan, Brisbane 4111, Australia

Correspondence e-mail: p.healy@griffith.edu.au


Received 12 September 2007; accepted 12 September 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 295$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.006$ Å; R factor = 0.026; wR factor = 0.068; data-to-parameter ratio = 20.3.

The title compound, $\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{INO}$, was synthesized during research aimed at producing suitable halogenated pyrrole building blocks for Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reactions. In the crystal structure, the molecules are planar and exhibit $\text{N}\cdots\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ bonding to form centrosymmetric dimers.

Related literature

For related literature, see: Davis *et al.* (2002); Mitsui *et al.* (2003); Miyaura & Suzuki (1995); Monti & Sleiter (1990); Smith *et al.* (1985); Sonnet (1972).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{INO}$	$V = 654.4$ (4) Å ³
$M_r = 220.99$	$Z = 4$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 10.245$ (3) Å	$\mu = 4.79$ mm ⁻¹
$b = 4.726$ (2) Å	$T = 295$ (2) K
$c = 13.531$ (4) Å	$0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.15$ mm
$\beta = 92.73$ (2)°	

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7R diffractometer	1505 independent reflections
Absorption correction: ψ -scan (North <i>et al.</i> , 1968)	1281 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$T_{\min} = 0.250$, $T_{\max} = 0.533$ (expected range = 0.229–0.487)	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.018$
1793 measured reflections	3 standard reflections every 150 reflections
	intensity decay: 1.8%

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$	74 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.068$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.11$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.56$ e Å ⁻³
1505 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.69$ e Å ⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{N1}-\text{H1}\cdots\text{O2}^{\text{i}}$	0.88	2.00	2.843 (5)	161
$\text{C5}-\text{H5}\cdots\text{O2}^{\text{ii}}$	0.95	2.50	3.400 (5)	158

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + 1, -y + 2, -z$; (ii) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *MSC/AFC7 Diffractometer Control Software* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1999); cell refinement: *MSC/AFC7 Diffractometer Control Software*; data reduction: *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 2001); program(s) used to solve structure: *TEXSAN*; program(s) used to refine structure: *TEXSAN* and *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *TEXSAN* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

The authors acknowledge financial support of this work by Griffith University and the Eskitis Institute for Cell and Molecular Therapies.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NG2326).

References

- Davis, R. A., Carroll, A. R. & Quinn, R. J. (2002). *Aust. J. Chem.* **55**, 789–794.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
- Mitsui, T., Kimoto, M., Sato, A., Yokoyama, S. & Hirao, I. (2003). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **13**, 4515–4518.
- Miyaura, N. & Suzuki, A. (1995). *Chem. Rev.* **95**, 2457–2483.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1999). *MSC/AFC7 Diffractometer Control Software*. Version 1.02 for Windows. MSC, The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (2001). *TEXSAN*. Version 1.06 for Windows. MSC, The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
- Monti, D. & Sleiter, G. (1990). *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **120**, 771–774.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). *Acta Cryst.* **A24**, 351–359.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXL97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Smith, K. M., Bobe, F. W., Minnetian, O. M., Hope, H. & Yanuck, M. D. (1985). *J. Org. Chem.* **50**, 790–792.
- Sonnet, P. E. (1972). *J. Org. Chem.* **37**, 925–929.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 7–13.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2007). E63, o4076 [doi:10.1107/S1600536807044534]

4-Iodo-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde

R. A. Davis, A. R. Carroll, R. J. Quinn, P. C. Healy and A. R. White

Comment

The title compound, (I), (Fig. 1) was synthesized during research aimed at producing suitable halogenated pyrrole building blocks for Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reactions (Miyaura & Suzuki, 1995; Davis *et al.*, 2002). Although compound (I) has been previously synthesized using a variety of methods (Mitsui *et al.*, 2003; Monti & Sleiter 1990; Sonnet, 1972) this is the first report of the X-ray crystal structure for 4-iodo-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde. As observed for related structures (Smith *et al.*, 1985), the molecules are planar and exhibit N—H···O bonding to form centrosymmetric dimers (Fig. 2).

Experimental

The commercial reagent pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde (475 mg, 5 mmol) was added to an argon charged two-necked flask (50 ml) to which dry THF (20 ml) was added and the mixture stirred for 10 min before being cooled to 195 K. *N*-iodosuccinimide (1.12 g, 5 mmol) was added portionwise over 15 min then the mixture was stirred at 195 K for 1 h before being transferred to a 258 K refrigerator for 16 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the material was partitioned between H₂O (50 ml) and DCM (2 x 50 ml). The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄), then the DCM was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was dissolved in DMSO (10 ml) then chromatographed over a C₁₈ flash column (40 mm x 80 mm) using 10% stepwise elutions from 20% MeOH/80% H₂O to 100% MeOH. The 70% MeOH/30% H₂O elution contained a 9:1 mixture of 4-iodo-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde and 5-iodo-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde (165 mg), which proved to be inseparable by reversed-phase HPLC. Fractional crystallization using DCM/hexanes produced pure 4-iodo-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde (72 mg, 6.5% yield). Low yielding di-iodinated and tri-iodinated pyrrole derivatives were also detected during the purification work however no crystalline material was obtained for these compounds. NMR assignments for compound (I) were determined following analysis of the one-dimensional and two-dimensional NMR (1*H*, 13 C, gCOSY, gHSQC, gHMBC) data.

4-iodo-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde (I): clear needles, m.p. 390–391 K. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 600 MHz) δ 7.12 (1*H*, s, H-3), 7.33 (1*H*, s, H-5), 9.43 (1*H*, s, 2-CHO), 12.37 (1*H*, br s, 1-NH). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 150 MHz) δ 62.7 (C-4), 126.0 (C-3), 131.3 (C-5), 134.4 (C-2), 178.7 (2-CHO). (-)-LRESIMS (*rel. int.*) *m/z* 220 (100%) [M—H, C₅H₃INO]⁻.

Refinement

The carbon-bound H atoms were constrained as riding atoms with C—H = 0.95–0.96 Å. The pyrrole proton was located in a difference Fourier synthesis and constrained with N—H = 0.88 Å. *U*_{iso}(H) values were set at 1.2*U*_{eq} of the parent atom.

Figures




Fig. 1. View of (I) with the atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms are drawn at the 30% probability level.




Fig. 2. View of the centrosymmetric dimers of (I).

4-iodo-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde

Crystal data

C_5H_4INO

$M_r = 220.99$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$

Hall symbol: $-P\ 2_1/c$

$a = 10.245\ (3)\ \text{\AA}$

$b = 4.726\ (2)\ \text{\AA}$

$c = 13.531\ (4)\ \text{\AA}$

$\beta = 92.73\ (2)^\circ$

$V = 654.4\ (4)\ \text{\AA}^3$

$Z = 4$

$F_{000} = 408$

$D_x = 2.243\ \text{Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

$\lambda = 0.71069\ \text{\AA}$

Cell parameters from 25 reflections

$\theta = 12.5\text{--}16.3^\circ$

$\mu = 4.79\ \text{mm}^{-1}$

$T = 295\ (2)\ \text{K}$

Plate, colourless

$0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.15\ \text{mm}$

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7R diffractometer

Radiation source: Rigaku rotating anode

Monochromator: graphite

$T = 295\ \text{K}$

$\omega/2\theta$ scans

Absorption correction: ψ scan

(North *et al.*, 1968)

$T_{\min} = 0.250$, $T_{\max} = 0.533$

1793 measured reflections

1505 independent reflections

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.018$

$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^\circ$

$\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.0^\circ$

$h = -13 \rightarrow 13$

$k = -2 \rightarrow 6$

$l = -7 \rightarrow 17$

3 standard reflections

every 150 reflections

intensity decay: 1.8%

1281 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
Least-squares matrix: full	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0254P)^2 + 0.8517P]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.068$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$S = 1.11$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
1505 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.56 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
74 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.69 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997), $F_c^* = kF_c[1+0.001F_c^2\lambda^3/\sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map	Extinction coefficient: 0.0057 (6)

Special details

Experimental. The scan width was $(1.79 + 0.30\tan\theta)^\circ$ with an ω scan speed of 16° per minute (up to 5 scans to achieve $I/\sigma(I) > 10$). Stationary background counts were recorded at each end of the scan, and the scan time:background time ratio was 2:1.

Geometry. Bond distances, angles *etc.* have been calculated using the rounded fractional coordinates. All su's are estimated from the variances of the (full) variance-covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account in the estimation of distances, angles and torsion angles

Refinement. Refinement on F^2 for ALL reflections except those flagged by the user for potential systematic errors. Weighted R -factors wR and all goodness of fit values S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The observed criterion of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating $-R$ -factor-obs *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
I1	0.10101 (3)	0.11438 (6)	0.17038 (2)	0.0593 (1)
O2	0.4065 (3)	0.9850 (9)	-0.1241 (2)	0.0696 (10)
N1	0.3783 (3)	0.6731 (8)	0.0582 (2)	0.0536 (10)
C2	0.2945 (4)	0.6628 (9)	-0.0239 (3)	0.0521 (13)
C3	0.1952 (4)	0.4801 (10)	-0.0045 (3)	0.0531 (11)
C4	0.2196 (4)	0.3788 (8)	0.0908 (3)	0.0490 (11)
C5	0.3333 (4)	0.5006 (10)	0.1282 (3)	0.0547 (13)
C21	0.3154 (4)	0.8263 (11)	-0.1112 (3)	0.0601 (14)
H1	0.45070	0.77370	0.06420	0.0630*
H2	0.25140	0.80760	-0.16460	0.0710*
H3	0.12300	0.42850	-0.04790	0.0630*
H5	0.37100	0.46580	0.19280	0.0640*

supplementary materials

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
I1	0.0656 (2)	0.0567 (2)	0.0560 (2)	0.0093 (1)	0.0078 (1)	0.0053 (1)
O2	0.0663 (18)	0.096 (2)	0.0456 (15)	-0.0168 (18)	-0.0061 (13)	0.0071 (17)
N1	0.0476 (16)	0.071 (2)	0.0417 (16)	0.0030 (16)	-0.0029 (13)	-0.0036 (16)
C2	0.053 (2)	0.065 (3)	0.0376 (17)	0.0046 (19)	-0.0053 (15)	-0.0039 (17)
C3	0.053 (2)	0.062 (2)	0.0435 (19)	-0.0021 (19)	-0.0067 (16)	-0.0025 (19)
C4	0.0533 (19)	0.052 (2)	0.0418 (18)	0.0109 (17)	0.0035 (15)	-0.0023 (17)
C5	0.056 (2)	0.069 (3)	0.0387 (18)	0.013 (2)	-0.0017 (16)	-0.0012 (19)
C21	0.058 (2)	0.081 (3)	0.0404 (19)	-0.008 (2)	-0.0070 (16)	0.002 (2)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

I1—C4	2.079 (4)	C2—C21	1.436 (6)
O2—C21	1.216 (6)	C3—C4	1.387 (6)
N1—C2	1.372 (5)	C4—C5	1.374 (6)
N1—C5	1.348 (5)	C3—H3	0.9500
N1—H1	0.8800	C5—H5	0.9500
C2—C3	1.369 (6)	C21—H2	0.9600
I1...C4 ⁱ	3.853 (4)	C4...I1 ^{vii}	3.853 (4)
I1...C5 ⁱ	3.812 (5)	C5...I1 ^{vii}	3.812 (5)
I1...I1 ⁱⁱ	3.8646 (17)	C5...O2 ^{viii}	3.400 (5)
I1...I1 ⁱⁱⁱ	3.8646 (17)	C21...H1 ^{vi}	3.0900
I1...H2 ^{iv}	3.3200	C21...H5 ^v	2.9100
O2...N1	2.900 (5)	H1...O2	2.7500
O2...C5 ^v	3.400 (5)	H1...O2 ^{vi}	2.0000
O2...N1 ^{vi}	2.843 (5)	H1...C21 ^{vi}	3.0900
O2...H1 ^{vi}	2.0000	H2...I1 ^{ix}	3.3200
O2...H1	2.7500	H2...H5 ^v	2.5700
O2...H5 ^v	2.5000	H5...O2 ^{viii}	2.5000
N1...O2	2.900 (5)	H5...C21 ^{viii}	2.9100
N1...O2 ^{vi}	2.843 (5)	H5...H2 ^{viii}	2.5700
C2—N1—C5	109.0 (3)	C3—C4—C5	108.1 (4)
C5—N1—H1	125.00	N1—C5—C4	107.9 (3)
C2—N1—H1	126.00	O2—C21—C2	126.5 (4)
N1—C2—C21	122.3 (4)	C2—C3—H3	127.00
N1—C2—C3	108.0 (4)	C4—C3—H3	126.00
C3—C2—C21	129.7 (4)	N1—C5—H5	128.00
C2—C3—C4	107.1 (4)	C4—C5—H5	124.00
I1—C4—C5	124.6 (3)	O2—C21—H2	117.00
I1—C4—C3	127.2 (3)	C2—C21—H2	117.00
C5—N1—C2—C3	0.0 (5)	C3—C2—C21—O2	-179.7 (5)
C5—N1—C2—C21	179.5 (4)	C2—C3—C4—I1	175.5 (3)
C2—N1—C5—C4	-0.1 (5)	C2—C3—C4—C5	0.0 (5)

N1—C2—C3—C4	0.0 (5)	I1—C4—C5—N1	-175.6 (3)
C21—C2—C3—C4	-179.4 (4)	C3—C4—C5—N1	0.1 (5)
N1—C2—C21—O2	1.1 (7)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, y-1, z$; (ii) $-x, y-1/2, -z+1/2$; (iii) $-x, y+1/2, -z+1/2$; (iv) $x, -y+1/2, z+1/2$; (v) $x, -y+3/2, z-1/2$; (vi) $-x+1, -y+2, -z$; (vii) $x, y+1, z$; (viii) $x, -y+3/2, z+1/2$; (ix) $x, -y+1/2, z-1/2$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ($\text{\AA}, ^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
N1—H1 \cdots O2 ^{vi}	0.88	2.00	2.843 (5)	161
C5—H5 \cdots O2 ^{viii}	0.95	2.50	3.400 (5)	158

Symmetry codes: (vi) $-x+1, -y+2, -z$; (viii) $x, -y+3/2, z+1/2$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

